UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/583,602	08/13/2007	Bong Jun Choi	K-0779	3167	
34610 KED & ASSOC	7590 09/30/200 CIATES, LLP	EXAMINER			
P.O. Box 22120	00	ZEC, FILIP			
Chantilly, VA 2	30133-1200		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3744		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			09/30/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Communication		Д	plication No. Applicant(s)						
		,	10/583,602	С	CHOI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			xaminer	Α	rt Unit				
		F	ilip Zec	3	744				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commur or Reply	nication appea	rs on the cover she	et with the cor	respondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN Insions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this coming period for reply is specified above, the maximum single to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATI s of 37 CFR 1.136(a munication. tatutory period will a v will, by statute, cau	E OF THIS COMMI i). In no event, however, mapply and will expire SIX (6) use the application to become	UNICATION. nay a reply be timely MONTHS from the me ABANDONED (filed mailing date of this of 35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)[\	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 02 Nove	ember 2007						
′=	, ,	-	ction is non-final.						
3)		<i>′</i> —		matters prose	ecution as to the	e merits is			
٥,١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims	•	•	,					
· · ·		application							
•	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
•	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	ion and/or alo	otion requirement						
اکا(٥	Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> are subject to restrict	on and/or elec	ction requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.							
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the dra	wing(s) be held in ab	eyance. See 3	7 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some coll None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	Paper 5) Notice	riew Summary (P ^r r No(s)/Mail Date. e of Informal Pate	·				

Application/Control Number: 10/583,602 Page 2

Art Unit: 3744

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic

invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as

to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Species I: FIG. 3 and

Species II: FIG. 7.

If the applicant elects species I, the applicant is required to further elect one of the

following species:

Species III: FIG. 5A and 5B; and

Species IV: FIG. 6A and 6B.

Once the applicant elects species I or II, the applicant is further required to elect one of

the following species:

Species V: 11A,

Species: VI: 11B and

Species VII: 11C.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims

shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify

the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An

argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive

unless accompanied by an election.

§ 809.02(a).

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP

Page 3

The following claim(s) are generic: claim 1.

- 2. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Claim 1 lacks inventiveness since Chinese Patent Publication CN1204759A, (see page 5, line 26--page 6, line 28 and Figs. 4-7) discloses a refrigerator comprising a refrigerator body 1; a refrigerating chamber 3 and a freezing chamber 2 provided in the body, for taking storage of foods; a cool air-generating device composed of evaporators 12a, 12b and fans 13a, 13b; a cool air-supplying device composed of a supply duct 15, openings 16 and a return duct 17, cool air being circulated through the freezing chamber, the refrigerating chamber and the cool air-supplying device; e. a dispersing blade 33 (corresponding to the "separator" in claim 1) provided adjacent to the openings 16 for uniformly distributing the cool air in the refrigerating chamber by separating the cool air into at least two passages.
- 3. A telephone call was made to J. Eisenhart on 9/28/2009 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Art Unit: 3744

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

5. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained.

Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Application/Control Number: 10/583,602

Art Unit: 3744

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Filip Zec whose telephone number is 571-270-5846. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, from 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Frantz Jules or Cheryl Tyler can be reached on 571-272-6681 or 571-272-4834,

respectively. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/F. Z./

Examiner, Art Unit 3744

/Cheryl J. Tyler/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit

Page 5

3744

9/28/2009